

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,436	04/20/2004	Nobuaki Takamizu	0051-0227PUS1 2731	
2292 7	7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT	
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3636	
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/827,436	TAKAMIZU, NOBUAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney B. White	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/24	<u>/04</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,12,13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3,4,6-11,14,15 and 17-22 is/are object	7) Claim(s) 3,4,6-11,14,15 and 17-22 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	• • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Art Unit: 3636

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 5, 12-13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Washizuka et al (U.S. Patent No.6,695,400).

Washizuka et al teaches a child car seat capable of being mounted on a stroller body including a front leg, a hand push rod, and an armrest, comprising a child car seat body to be fitted in the stroller body, a locking member disposed on the child car seat body, the locking member being engageable with the armrest of the stroller body, a locking/unlocking mechanism 238 34 or disposed on the locking member for locking the child car seat on the stroller body, and having a locking/unlocking lever, the locking/unlocking mechanism being unlocked and maintained in the unlocked state upon an operation of the locking/unlocking lever; and a fall prevention member connected to the locking member for preventing the child car seat from falling off the stroller body, wherein the fall prevention member extends downwardly from the locking member.

Claims 1-2, 5, 12-13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perego (U.S. Patent No.6,318,807).

Application/Control Number: 10/827,436

Art Unit: 3636

Page 4

Perego teaches a child car seat capable of being mounted on a stroller body including a front leg, a hand push rod, and an armrest, comprising a child car seat body to be fitted in the stroller body, a locking member disposed on the child car seat body the locking member being engageable with the armrest of the stroller body, a locking/unlocking mechanism or disposed on the locking member for locking the child car seat on the stroller body, and having a locking/unlocking lever, the locking/unlocking mechanism being unlocked and maintained in the unlocked state upon an operation of the locking/unlocking lever; and a fall prevention member connected to the locking member for preventing the child car seat from falling off the stroller body, wherein the fall prevention member extends downwardly from the locking member.

Claims 1-2, 5, 12-13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bapst et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,409,205).

Bapst et al teaches a child car seat capable of being mounted on a stroller body including a front leg, a hand push rod, and an armrest, comprising a child car seat body to be fitted in the stroller body, a locking member disposed on the child car seat body said locking member being engageable with the armrest of the stroller body, a locking/unlocking mechanism or disposed on the locking member for locking the child car seat on the stroller body, and having a locking/unlocking lever, the locking/unlocking mechanism being unlocked and maintained in the unlocked state upon an operation of

the locking/unlocking lever; and a fall prevention connected to the locking member for preventing the child car seat from falling off the stroller body, wherein the fall prevention member extends downwardly from the locking member.

Page 5

Claims 3-4, 6-11, 14-15, and 17-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Remarks

The newly amended claims are now broader than they were prior to being amended because the structure that allows the locking member to engage the armrests and locking the child seat on the stroller body is actually a "fall prevention member for preventing the child car seat from falling off the stroller body." Perhaps the Applicant should have kept the language in Claim 1 as - - the fall prevention member is adapted to contact the stroller body - - and, more specifically, - - adapted to contact the front legs of the stroller body. Even then, it is not guaranteed to place the claims in better condition for allowance. Applicant's requirement of specific structure(s) and specific interaction between those structures further supports the fact that the Applicant should claim the entire combination of the stroller and child car seat because, as suggested in

Art Unit: 3636

the first office action, the structure, specifically the child seat, the locking member, and the fall prevention member are not going to work with all strollers, but would only work with a stroller like the one Applicant has provided. Currently the claims read as if the child car seat, locking member, and fall prevention member will work with any stroller and that is not true, since all strollers are not built like the one to which Applicant has attached his child car seat.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (703) 308-2276. (This number will be (571) 272-6863 if the move to the new U.S. Patent and Trademark facility has been completed).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. (This number will be (571) 272-6856 if the move to the new U.S. Patent and Trademark facility has been completed). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 January 28, 2005

RODNEY 8. WHITE